

Original Article

DOI: 10.22114/ajem.v0i0.130

Intravenous Acetaminophen vs. Ketorolac in Terms of Pain Management in Prehospital Emergency Services: A Randomized Clinical Trial

Babak Mahshidfar¹, Mahdi Rezaei¹, Saeed Abbasi¹, Davood Farsi¹, Peyman Hafezimoghadam¹, Mani Mofidi¹, Ramin Almasi², Shaqayeq Khosravi^{1*}

1. Emergency Medicine Management Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

2. Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

*Corresponding author: Shaqayeq Khosravi; Email: shaqayeq.khosravi@gmail.com

Published online: 2019-05-08

Abstract

Introduction: Although pain management in EDs has been fully addressed in clinical trials, prehospital settings have rarely been investigated.

Objective: The present study was conducted to compare the effectiveness of intravenous acetaminophen with that of ketorolac in pre-hospital pain control.

Method: This randomized clinical trial (RCT) was performed at a prehospital setting during EMS missions in Tehran, Iran. The eligible candidates comprised all patients over the age of 7 years with a complaint of moderate to severe pain. The patients were randomly assigned to two groups, one receiving 30 mg of intravenous (IV) ketorolac and the other 1 g of IV acetaminophen. The pain intensity was measured using a visual analog scale (VAS) before administering the analgesic and upon admission to the ED.

Results: The present study was conducted on 150 patients aged 8-81 years with a mean age of 40.4 ± 17.7 , including 84 (56%) males. The mean reduction in the pain score was 14.9 ± 8.6 in the acetaminophen group and 16.0 ± 8.8 in the ketorolac group. Univariate analyses suggested no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of delta pain score (pain reduction) ($P=0.429$).

Conclusion: Based on the obtained findings, both ketorolac and acetaminophen could be administered for pain management in prehospital settings in both traumatic and non-traumatic patients in case their contraindications are considered.

Key words: Acetaminophen; Emergency Medical Services; Ketorolac; Pain Management

Cite this article as: Mahshidfar B, Rezaei M, Abbasi S, Farsi D, Hafezimoghadam P, Mofidi M, et al. Intravenous Acetaminophen vs. Ketorolac in Terms of Pain Management in Prehospital Emergency Services: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Adv J Emerg Med.* 2019;3(3): e37.

INTRODUCTION

Emergency patients always complain about the unpleasant sensation of pain. It is believed that, the earlier the pain control is started, the more successful it appears to be. So, starting pain management by emergency medical service (EMS) technicians can help with the better control of pain in the emergency department (ED) (1, 2). Despite the possibility of using different analgesic agents in prehospital settings, as is the frequent case in some developed countries, underdeveloped and developing countries such as Iran are facing certain obstacles in this regard (3). Although pain management in EDs has been fully addressed in clinical trials, prehospital settings have rarely been investigated. This type of studies can therefore provide documented information required for determining appropriate pain management methods at the pre-hospital emergency stage. The present study was therefore conducted to compare

the effectiveness of intravenous acetaminophen with that of ketorolac in pre-hospital pain control.

METHODS

Study design

This randomized clinical trial (RCT) was performed at a prehospital setting during EMS missions in Tehran, Iran. After approving the study protocol by ethics committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences, sampling was performed from September 2011 until March 2012. After signing informed consent forms, the patients were included in the study. The Declaration of Helsinki was also followed throughout the study by the researchers.

Study population

The eligible candidates comprised all patients over the age of 7 years with a complaint of moderate to severe pain and able to respond to the research questions. The exclusion criteria consisted of

altered levels of consciousness, pain with cardiac origins, gastrointestinal bleeding and a history of allergy to acetaminophen or ketorolac.

Intervention and data collection

A checklist was prepared in two parts; the first part included variables associated with demographic characteristics of the patients, i.e. age and gender, and the second involved pain characteristics, i.e. the pain cause and intensity before and after the intervention. The patients were randomly assigned to two groups, one receiving 30 mg of intravenous (IV) ketorolac and the other 1 g of IV acetaminophen. The pain intensity was measured using a visual analog scale (VAS) before administering the analgesic and upon admission to the ED.

Definition

A pain reduction of over 13 mm was considered acceptable and a reduction of over 30 mm clinically significant. A VAS pain intensity of 0-35 was considered mild, 36-65 moderate and 66-100 severe (4).

Statistical analysis

To analyze the data, the Chi-square test was used to compare the categorical variables, and the independent t-test and Pearson correlation to compare the numerical variables. ANCOVA was also used for the association of delta pain reduction with type of drug and another covariate. Moreover, the Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality assumption. P<0.05 was set as the level of statistical significance. The statistical analysis was performed in SPSS.

RESULTS

The present study was conducted on 150 patients aged 8-81 years with a mean age of 40.4 ± 17.7, including 84 (56%) males. Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the study patients. The mean reduction in the pain score was 14.9±8.7 in the acetaminophen group and 16.0±8.8 in the

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the study patients

Variable	Acetaminophen (n=75)	Ketorolac (n=75)	P
Mean age (year)	40.5±17.4	40.3±18.1	0.938
Gender			
Male	41±54.7	43±57.3	0.869
Female	34±45.3	32±42.7	
Cause of pain			
Trauma	32±42.7	36±48.0	0.623
Others	43±57.3	39±52.0	
Pain intensity			
Moderate	48±64.0	58±77.3	0.106
Severe	27±36.0	17±22.7	

ketorolac group. Univariate analyses suggested no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of delta pain score (pain reduction) (P=0.429). Table 2 presents the pain score of the study patients at different time points.

Table 3 presents the delta pain score and the rate of pain reduction in the study patients based on the baseline characteristics. The results suggest that both medicines could significantly relieve traumatic pains compared to non-traumatic pains (P<0.05).

Moreover, ketorolac was found to be more effective in reducing the intensity of severe pains than moderate pains. Ketorolac was also found to be more effective in reducing the pain score (P=0.083) and the rate of pain reduction (P=0.040) in case of severe pains compared to acetaminophen (Table 3).

Delta pain reduction was found to be more significantly correlated with age in the ketorolac group (r=0.262, P=0.023) compared to in the acetaminophen group (r=0.141, P=0.229).

ANCOVA suggested that pain intensity (F=9.2, P=0.003) and gender (F=6.1, P=0.015) were statistically significant and age (F=2.9, P=0.091) marginally significant for delta pain score as the dependent variable, although the type of medicine was insignificant (F=0.52, P=0.471).

Table 2: The VAS pain score of the study patients at different time points

Time points	Acetaminophen (n=75)		Ketorolac (n=75)		P
	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	
Before analgesic administration	61.8 (11.7)	60.0 (16.0)	57.8 (10.5)	58.0 (14.0)	0.031
Upon admission to the ED	46.9 (15.1)	46.0 (20.0)	41.8 (13.0)	40.0 (16.0)	0.028
Time interval between prescribing and pain assessment in EMS (min)	23.8 (5.4)	23.0 (6.0)	23.5 (3.7)	23.0 (5.0)	0.752
Pain reduction per minute	0.63 (0.37)	0.67 (0.32)	0.69 (0.38)	0.65 (0.33)	0.302

Table 3: The mean score (SD) of the delta pain and the pain reduction rate in the study patients based on the baseline characteristics

	Δ Pain score		P	Pain reduction per minute		P
	Acetaminophen (n=75)	Ketorolac (n=75)		Acetaminophen (n=75)	Ketorolac (n=75)	
Gender						
Male	13.4 (8.0)	14.9 (8.0)	0.395	0.58 (0.37)	0.65 (0.35)	0.389
Female	16.6 (9.2)	17.5 (9.8)	0.716	0.68 (0.36)	0.74 (0.43)	0.515
P	0.105	0.271		0.207	0.313	
Pain cause						
Trauma	17.4 (8.2)	18.1 (5.6)	0.677	0.75 (0.32)	0.78 (0.28)	0.587
Non-trauma	13.0 (8.6)	14.1 (10.8)	0.617	0.54 (0.39)	0.60 (0.45)	0.483
P	0.027*	0.014*		0.042*	0.037*	
Pain intensity						
Moderate	16.1 (7.6)	15.1 (6.5)	0.472	0.70 (0.33)	0.66 (0.31)	0.435
Severe	12.7 (10.0)	19.2 (14.0)	0.083	0.48 (0.41)	0.80 (0.58)	0.040*
P	0.111	0.012*		0.256	0.340	

*Statistically significant

DISCUSSION

The present research found both ketorolac and acetaminophen to clinically relieve pain in pre-hospital settings, and to be more effective in relieving traumatic pains than non-traumatic pains. In severe pains, ketorolac was found to cause a higher reduction in the pain score compared to acetaminophen. Conversely, acetaminophen was more effective in managing moderate pains than severe pains. These medicines were found to be more effective in pain reduction in younger ages, and this finding was more significant in the ketorolac group compared to in the acetaminophen group. These medicines were also more effective in men than in women.

A systematic review on prehospital pain management of trauma patients by Dijkstra et al. found paracetamol to be an effective analgesic both orally and intravenously, which is consistent with the present findings (5). The efficacy of acetaminophen in pain management has been addressed in several RCTs, most of which reported a paracetamol-associated pain reduction, although it was not clinically effective (6-9). The present study appears to have pioneered the IV administration of paracetamol for pain management, which caused a 13-mm VAS pain reduction. Although acetaminophen has not been yet conclusively compared with opioids in terms of pain relief in pre-hospital settings, they have been reported to be equally effective in some studies (9, 10).

In contrast to the obtained results for the effectiveness and safety of acetaminophen, Dijkstra et al. and Macintyre et al. found that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to cause mixed effects in terms of effectiveness, as they cause many contraindications and serious side effects. They did not therefore recommend these drugs for

prehospital settings given the restricted possibilities for adequate risk assessment on potential contraindications and side effects (5, 11); nevertheless, ketorolac showed proper effects on prehospital traumatic pain management in the present study. It is worth noting that the study patients were not followed up for possible side-effects during and after hospitalization, and those with known contraindications were excluded. The results obtained in this regard by Hoogewijs et al., Whitefield et al. and Woo et al. appear in line with the present results (6, 7, 12). Hoogewijs et al. reported that IV diclofenac and IV propacetamol caused an equal statistically-significant pain reduction of below 2 points based on the VAS, suggesting that both medicines were ineffective (6). It is consistent with the present research attributing a pain relief of more than 30 mm (3 points) to ketorolac, although the 13 mm decrease based on the VAS could be considered acceptable. The data collected are recommended to be interpreted by considering more findings such as patient satisfaction.

Limitation

Given pain measurement as a subjective criterion in subjects, factors such as a desire for more pain relief by using higher doses of painkillers, the patient's failure to comprehend the questionnaire's items and a childish fear of reinjections can influence the reliability of these criteria, the actual pain reduction and its associated outcomes. Given that possible side-effects during and after hospitalization were not investigated in the present study, the findings are recommended to be interpreted and analyzed cautiously.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the obtained findings, both ketorolac and acetaminophen could be administered for pain

management in prehospital settings in both traumatic and non-traumatic patients in case their contraindications are considered. The effectiveness of both drugs also appear to decrease with age.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully thank the personnel of Tehran EMS center that were involved in management of the cases.

REFERENCES

1. Galinski M, Ruscev M, Gonzalez G, Kavas J, Ameer L, Biens D, et al. Prevalence and management of acute pain in prehospital emergency medicine. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2010;14(3):334-9.
2. Hennes H, Kim MK, Pirrallo RG. Prehospital pain management: a comparison of providers' perceptions and practices. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2005;9(1):32-9.
3. Hassankhani H, Soheili A, Vahdati SS. Pain Management in Prehospital Emergency Service: A Neglected Necessity in Iranian Healthcare System. *Iranian J Emerg Med*. 2018;5:16.
4. Farahmand S, Shafazand S, Alinia E, Bagheri-Hariri S, Baratloo A. Pain Management Using Acupuncture Method in Migraine Headache Patients; A Single Blinded Randomized Clinical Trial. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2018;8(6):e81688.
5. Dijkstra BM, Berben SA, van Dongen RT, Schoonhoven L. Review on pharmacological pain management in trauma patients in (pre-hospital) emergency medicine in the Netherlands. *Eur J Pain*. 2014;18(1):3-19.
6. Hoogewijs J, Diltoer MW, Hubloue I, Spapen HD, Camu F, Corne L, et al. A prospective, open, single blind, randomized study comparing four analgesics in the treatment of peripheral injury in the emergency department. *Eur J Emerg Med*. 2000;7(2):119-23.
7. Woo WW, Man SY, Lam PK, Rainer TH. Randomized double-blind trial comparing oral paracetamol and oral nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs for treating pain after musculoskeletal injury. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2005;46(4):352-61.
8. Viallon A, Marjollet O, Guyomarch P, Robert F, Berger C, Guyomarch S, et al. Analgesic efficacy of orodispersible paracetamol in patients admitted to the emergency department with an osteoarticular injury. *Eur J Emerg Med*. 2007;14(6):337-42.
9. Craig M, Jeavons R, Probert J, Benger J. Randomised comparison of intravenous paracetamol and intravenous morphine for acute traumatic limb pain in the emergency department. *Emerg Med J*. 2012;29(1):37-9.
10. Shear ML, Adler JN, Shewakramani S, Ilgen J, Soremekun OA, Nelson S, et al. Transbuccal fentanyl for rapid relief of orthopedic pain in the ED. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2010;28(8):847-52.
11. Macintyre PE, Scott DA, Schug SA, Visser EJ, Walker SM. Acute pain management: scientific evidence: Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists Melbourne; 2010.
12. Whitefield M, O'Kane CJ, Anderson S. Comparative efficacy of a proprietary topical ibuprofen gel and oral ibuprofen in acute soft tissue injuries: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2002;27(6):409-17.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

All the authors met the standards of authorship based on the recommendations of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

FUNDING

None declared.